Case 3:13-cv-00940-TEH Document 15 Filed 03/20/13 Page 1 of 3

1 KENNETH C. ABSALOM (SBN 114607) kenabsalom@333law.com 2 JAMES J. ACHERMANN (SBN 262514) james.achermann@333law.com 3 LAW OFFICE OF KENNETH C. ABSALOM 275 Battery Street, Suite 200 4 San Francisco, Ca. 94111 Tel: 415-392-5040 5 Fax: 415-392-3729 6 Attorneys For Plaintiff KHAN MICHAEL ORDONEZ 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 13 KHAN MICHAEL ORDONEZ, Case No.: CV 13-00940 TEH 14 PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL Plaintiff NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO 15 MOTION TO DISMISS DEFENDANT ROGER SORENSON 16 FRONTIER AIRLINES, REPUBLIC [Fed. R. Evid. 201] 17 AIRWAYS HOLDINGS, ROBERT SORENSON an individual and DOES 1-20., 18 April 15, 2013 Date: Time: 10:00 a.m. Defendant 19 Courtroom: B Judge: Hon, Thelton E. Henderson 20 Removal Filed: March 1, 2013 21 Trial Date: TBD 22 23 REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE 24 Plaintiff, Khan Michael Ordonez ("Plaintiff") hereby requests that the Court take judicial 25 notice of the following documents attached as Exhibits A through C. This request is made 26 pursuant to Rule 201 of the Federal Rules of Evidence and the authorities cited below. This 27 request is made in connection with Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to dismiss the 28 PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL 1 Case No.: C 13-00940 TEH NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS DEFENDANT ROGER SORENSON

Complaint filed by Defendants Frontier Airlines Inc., Republic Airways Holdings Inc., and Roger Sorenson (Dkt. 6) as well as Plaintiffs Motion to Remand filed on March 20, 2013.

EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION
A.	August 12, 2011 Two Signed DFEH Complaints Naming Defendant Frontier Airlines (DFEH # E201112M0431-00-pv)and Roger Sorenson (DFEH # E201112M0431-01-pv)
В.	April 20, 2011 One Amended DFEH Complaint Naming Defendant Frontier Airlines and Defendant Republic Airways Holdings Inc. (DFEH # E201112M0431- 00-pv)
C.	Two Right to Sue Letters Dated July 19, 2012 Re: DFEH # E201112M0431-00-pv and DFEH # E201112M0431-01-pv

BASIS FOR REQUESTING JUDICIAL NOTICE

"Generally, a court may not consider material beyond the complaint in ruling on a Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) motion. However, a court may take judicial notice of matters of public record without converting a motion to dismiss into a motion for summary judgment, as long as the facts noticed are not subject to reasonable dispute." *Intri-Plex Techs., Inc. v. Crest Group*, Inc., 499 F.3d 1048, 1052 (9th Cir. 2007) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

Further, Courts may take judicial notice of the records of a state agency that are not subject to reasonable dispute. *Livingston v. Kemper Sports Mgmt.*, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 154277, 6-7 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 26, 2012) citing *City of Sausalito v. O'Neill*, 386 F.3d 1186, 1223, n.2 (9th Cir. 2004) (The Court "may take judicial notice of a record of a state agency not subject to reasonable dispute."); see also *Davenport v. Bd. of Tr. of State Ctr. Cmty. Coll. Dist.*, 654 F. Supp. 2d 1073, 1088 (E.D. Cal. 2009) (taking judicial notice of DFEH-related documents on grounds that they are official records of a state administrative agency).

Exhibits A-C present Complaints and Correspondence between the California

Department of Fair Employment and Housing and the Plaintiff in the above captioned matter.

Case 3:13-cv-00940-TEH Document 15 Filed 03/20/13 Page 3 of 3

Further Plaintiff's Complaint specifically re	eferences Exhibits A-C in paragraphs 6-9 as those	
administrative documents upon which his causes of action are based. (Complaint ¶¶ 6-9).		
Defendant has asserted in their motion to di	ismiss that Plaintiff Sixth Cause of Action for	
Retaliation was brought pursuant to the CFI	RA, however Exhibits A-C make it clear the plaintiff	
Cause of Action for Harassment is rooted in	n the FEHA.	
For the Following reasons this Cou	art may properly consider Exhibits A-C in ruling o	
Defendants Motion to Dismiss and Motion	to Remand.	
Dated: March 20, 2013	LAW OFFICE OF KENNETH C. ABSALOM	
	By: /s/ James J. Achermann Kenneth C. Absalom James J. Achermann Attorneys for Plaintiff KHAN MICHAEL ORDONEZ	
Plaintiff's Complaint has been attached as Exhibit Docket #1. Plaintiff has in the interest of conservation	A to Defendants Notice of Removal lodged with this Court at in not attached it to this Opposition.	
PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL	3 Case No.: C 13-00940 TEH	

PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL
NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO
MOTION TO DISMISS DEFENDANT
ROGER SORENSON